OK, thanks. Just want to be sure I get it: The city told AEG it wanted the reimbursement and AEG went to the Trump campaign, then the campaign refused and AEG backed down? And the city is still maintaining that it wants the reimbursement from AEG, so the onus is on them to collect it from the campaign or be liable for it themselves?

Apologies for the delay here, Mark, and appreciate the edits. All looks good, updated with a link to support the $40 m housing investment.

As far as the latest on reimbursement for the $530k goes, it’s now a matter between AEG (booking agent) and the city. Mayor’s going to be meeting with council members and city leadership in weeks ahead to determine the path forward but his position hasn’t changed – wants taxpayers reimbursed for the operating costs. So short answer to your q about campaign paying: no they didn’t pay, AEG backed down from their position before the rally.

You’ll see that I added a line about the bill for Trump being higher than it might have been for an event with not as many security worries and at a better time of day, with less traffic. As you’re probably aware, the PolitiFact check about the cost of the Obama 2009 rally versus the Trump rally came down on the side of "true" regarding Trump’s complaint. Needed to address that in way, even obliquely.

Hello, Mychal. Here’s the edited version. I adjusted the passage about the nearly $1 million in unpaid bills, to avoid potential reader confusion. There’s one yellow-highlighted query about the housing bill the city passed.

If any changes are needed, please be sure to indicate them -- additions and deletions -- clearly. Would be great to have this back from you as soon as possible.

Best,