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INTRODUCTION

Although elected officials and community leaders regularly celebrate Minnesota’s recognition as a leader in public education,[1] these awards and statements hide the true picture.[2] In reality, Minnesota’s public schools, even in “progressive” Minneapolis, continually fail Black, Brown, and Indigenous children.[3] Too often these troubling disparities are explained away by supposed personal failings of students and their families.[4] However, such an explanation fails to place responsibility where it belongs: on the long-term failure of government actors to address educational inequity within Minnesota’s public education system. This Post argues Minnesotans should adopt a recently proposed constitutional amendment to protect young, Black Minnesotans’ right to a quality public education.[5]

I. EDUCATIONAL (IN)ADEQUACY IN MINNESOTA

Often, Minnesotans are quick to distance the state from the American legacy of slavery and racism,[6] arguing that as a Northern territory Minnesota holds moral superiority over Southern states and territories.[7] However, as in the South, Minnesota’s cities and towns similarly enacted laws and ordinances suppressing Black Americans’ political and financial power throughout the country’s history.[8] This disenfranchisement of Black Americans also occurred in local education policies, both preceding and following the American Civil War.[9] For example, in St. Paul, despite ordinances enacted in 1850 requiring local leaders to provide “ample means for the education of all the children in town,” Black children were routinely turned away from the city’s public schools throughout the 19th century.[10] Naturally, relics of Minnesota’s shameful past linger within the state’s policy decisions today.[11] This reality becomes abundantly clear upon review of the state’s poor student performance results for Black students.[12] Last year, Minnesota’s persistently dismal educational outcomes for Black students prompted one local philanthropy to launch an advertising campaign proclaiming: “Minnesota schools are worst in the nation for our children of color.”[13]

II. PAST EFFORTS TO ADDRESS EDUCATIONAL INADEQUACY IN MINNESOTA HAVE FAILED TO SYSTEMICALLY IMPROVE BLACK EDUCATION

To address the deficient state of Black education in Minnesota, civil rights advocates have turned to the state’s courts.[14] In the state’s first major educational adequacy lawsuit, Skeen v. State, the state Supreme Court held the Education Clause did not simply provide a permissible grant of power to the
legislature, but rather, established a mandate to deliver “general and uniform” education across the state. Highlighting how education is a “fundamental right” under the Minnesota Constitution, in *Skeen* the Court expanded the plain language of the Education Clause and called on the state legislature to provide “an *adequate* education to all students in Minnesota.” Notably, in *Skeen*, the Court declined to establish a bright-line standard to define educational adequacy. Since *Skeen*, the Court has declined to further elucidate what an “adequate” education requires when resolving subsequent educational adequacy disputes. Despite the expanded adequacy language adopted by the Court in *Skeen*, post-*Skeen* litigation outcomes suggest the Court’s adequacy requirement does not impose a high bar. Thus, although an alleged violation of the state’s Education Clause is a justiciable issue for Minnesota courts, no recent educational adequacy lawsuit has succeeded in improving outcomes for the state’s students.

III. THE MINNESOTA CONSTITUTION’S EDUCATION CLAUSE SHOULD GUARANTEE THE RIGHT TO A QUALITY PUBLIC EDUCATION

Neither the U.S. Constitution, federal statute, nor U.S. Supreme Court precedent guarantee the right to education for American students. However, Minnesota’s state constitution, like all other states, outlines a positive and enforceable right to education for every citizen. A new advocacy campaign from the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis and former state Supreme Court Justice Alan Page contends to remedy persistent educational disparities in the state, Minnesota should amend its Education Clause to strengthen the language and more clearly protect all students’ right to a quality education. Arguing “[c]onstitutional language matters,” supporters of the proposal believe Minnesota should amend its Education Clause “to reflect the changing preferences of [its] citizens.” However the Page Amendment, as the proposal is commonly referenced, does not merely reflect changing “preferences.” Rather, the change can also ensure Black students' educational needs are met across the state, as it begins to repair the harms of the state’s long history of racism in education.

The proposed amendment would replace Article XIII, Section 1 of Minnesota’s Constitution in its entirety. If ratified, the Education Clause would state:

All children have a fundamental right to a quality public education that fully prepares them with the skills necessary for participation in the economy, our democracy, and society, as measured against uniform achievement standards set forth by the state. It is a paramount duty of the state to ensure quality public schools that fulfill this fundamental right.

Although critics portray the proposal as an imprudent and unprecedented solution, in reality, Minnesota’s failure to update, or even propose an update to, its Education Clause places Minnesota among the minority of states in the country. As a result, Black students in Minnesota have the same education rights as their ancestors had when the Minnesota Constitution was first adopted in 1857.

The Page Amendment is an attainable remedy. To date, the state has approved over 100 amendments to the Minnesota Constitution on matters ranging from the structure of the state’s judiciary to the preservation of the state’s “hunting and fishing heritage.” Since 1898, amending the Minnesota Constitution has required two steps: (1) approval by majority of both chambers of the legislature, during a single legislative session, and (2) ratification by a majority of voters in a statewide election. As a first step in the legislative process, a bipartisan-supported bill on the matter was introduced in the House with HF 874.
For now, in education policy circles in Minnesota, all eyes are on the state Capitol to see if legislators will take further action on the Page Amendment this term. The imperative to do so is plain. As Justice Page declared in his dissent in Skeen nearly 30 years ago: “[t]he State’s duty toward its children is not satisfied unless it provides equal educational opportunities for all children.”[35] Today Minnesota’s Education Clause fails to redeem the legacy of racism still plaguing the state’s public education system. This is a matter of social injustice. Given the critical role education plays in facilitating financial security, political agency, and health and happiness,[36] Minnesotans should adopt the Page Amendment to protect Black children’s equal right to a quality education.
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