

INTERROGATORY NO. 10: State any specific facts which at any time led you to believe, infer or suspect that any premises listed in your response to Interrogatory No. 8 was entered by anyone acting without permission or that any material was removed therefrom without permission.

ANSWERS TO INTERROGATORY #10: While living at 529 Massachusetts Avenue in Boston, the house was robbed three times within a month -- around August, 1971. On at least one of those occasions, my room was the only one entered. Of the five rooms that opened a window on the fire escape, the second alone was entered. I lost a stereo and considerable camera equipment, and since I eventually collected insurance on the lost equipment, I assume the police were notified. People were in the house at this time and did not hear the robbery taking place. The other two robberies resulted in stolen bicycles, etc. Having the house entered three times within a month prompted our move that fall -- it took us several months to find a house big enough for the six of us. In the beginning days of the restaurant (late '74 possibly, but perhaps early '75) the restaurant was broken into. One bicycle was stolen, but other valuables, including a second ten-speed bike were left untouched. The desk in the basement where all our books were kept (financial records of the restaurant, as well as all the files from the Honeywell Campaign) was rifled -- papers were strewn all over. Materials were stolen from our residence at 247 Weston Street, Waltham, within a year of our move there in October, 1973. Since insurance was collected, I assume police reports were made in each case.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11: State whether you reported to any law enforcement authorities any unauthorized entry into or theft of any material from any of the premises identified in your response to Interrogatory No. 8 during the years 1968-1974, and if so, set forth the date of such report, a summary of the information so reported, and identify the agency to which the report was made.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY #11: See Answer to Interrogatory #10.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12: State any specific facts which at any time led you to believe, infer or suspect that any of the premises listed in your response to Interrogatory No. 8 was electronically bugged or otherwise subjected to electronic surveillance or that any telephone installed in such premises or otherwise used by you was tapped or subjected to electronic interception.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY #12: The possibility that phones were bugged was a given assumption, both at work and in our homes. Specific facts are limited to a constant clicking on the Weston Street, Waltham, phone, and static on the Massachusetts Avenue, Boston, phone.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13: State whether you reported to any law enforcement authorities any unauthorized bugging of premises or tapping of telephones reflected in your response to Interrogatory No. 12 during the years 1968-1974, and if so, set forth the date of such report, a summary of the information so reported, and identify the agency to which the report was made.

ANSWER TO INTERROGATORY #13: Nothing specific, so not reported.